

Fact 1

Scientific methodology is proving more and more psychological and biological differences between man and woman.

Fact 2

Sexual aspects would traditionally seem to play a fundamental role in the psychology of the individuals.

Problem 1

Are the sexual differences due to a different sexual biological predisposition of man and woman, or are they just due to cultural factors? Is it really possible to separate cultural factors from biological factors? Is there any feasibility for substantially removing all the cultural factors?

Would removing the alleged sexual repression of the woman (and thereby making the sexual psychology of the woman similar to one of the man) create less differences and more social equality between man and woman?

Problem 2

How to justly conciliate the residual different "hard-core" biological predispositions (that could be: different physical strength, differences in parts of the brain or body that lead to a different psychology, different sexual physiology, different capability of getting pregnant and breast-feeding a baby) with a complete sexual equality in the society?

Thesis

Ultimately, the main general thesis held in this book is: naturalistic ethics applied to gender problems:

Common sense gives a method (CMS) to verify theories and to make decisions.

By that scientific theories could develop.

The progress of science made naturalism the winning philosophical theory.

Naturalism implies naturalistic ethics.

Research in naturalistic ethics is the key to solve problems related to 'sexual equality'.